

E-Lass Seminar

Piteå, 24 January, 2019

**Composite Regulation: Case Study for
Composite Repairs in the marine sector**

Southampton Marine & Maritime Institute (SMMI)

Professor Simon Quinn

SMMI Industrial Liaison Manager

SMMI Purpose

- Promote interdisciplinary cross-faculty research
- Create additionality – more than the sum of its parts
- Diversify engagement
- Maintain and enhance global distinctiveness



Southampton Marine & Maritime Institute



A world-leading centre for research, innovation, business and education
... a focal point for industries, enterprises and government
to conduct leading edge research and technology application

- More than 350 academics from all Faculties of the University
- Four Principal Themes

Energy & Resources
Climate & Environment

Society & Government
Trade & Transport

- 60 SMMI PhD students – cross-faculty, multi-disciplinary

Previous work, published April 2017

Simon Quinn, Janice Barton, Simon Gerrard,
Robert Veal, Mikis Tsimplis, Rob Stevens &
Ole Thomsen

Modernising Composite Regulations: A Position Paper

Intentions

- The market
 - Global market for composites 2013 (all sectors) ~US\$ 68bn. Expected growth 6.5% CAGR over 7 years – 2020 forecast ~\$106bn.
 - 2016 UK Composite Strategy predicts growth from £2.3bn in 2015 to £12bn in 2030
- Problem statement
 - Major inhibitor to the uptake of composite materials in new sectors; **Regulations, codes and standards**
- Study group
 - Formed at University of Southampton to consult with key industrial players and regulators, and report to BEIS
 - **Limitations of current framework and issues**
- Emergent proposals
 - The need for a harmonised, cross-sectoral, regulatory framework for composite materials (UK and international)
 - To boost GVA, skills, inward investment, exports and jobs, including repatriation of some manufacturing back to UK and other countries

Summary

- The **absence of harmonised support** for 'performance' or 'goal' based regulation and the proof of 'equivalence' in most manufacturing sectors (except Aerospace and Wind), is **inhibiting growth and** delivery of the long term strategic goals of the composites industry.
- It devalues composites research and gives other, more nimble material technologies a competitive advantage.
- Makes investment in composite manufacturing financially unattractive.
- There is a real **need to create better integrated support to develop the codes, standards and best practice to facilitate a 'performance' based building block approach**

And

- The assurance of composite materials needs to be harmonised and vested in one national/international authority

Composite Leadership Forum (CLF)

- UK group working to influence the Government and other bodies (including Industry, research centres, academia, skills provider) to bring together support for composites and ensure growth and industrial success,
<https://compositesuk.co.uk/leadership-forum/about-clf>
- Regulation Codes and Standards Working Group is currently updating its 2016 strategy:
 - Work with regulators and standards bodies to adapt regulations to enable use of composites
 - Establish composites materials test and database capability
 - Develop standards for key topics; e.g. process measurements, FST
 - Non-destructive methods for thick sections and large scale manufacture
 - Implement design codes for structural applications

Current project activity at Southampton

- 'Performance' based qualification of advanced composite material structures used in the marine sector
- A case study to show how a load carrying structure made of advanced composite materials can be qualified within the existing regulatory systems
- The work will define any shortcomings of the current regulatory systems and propose alternative approaches
- Anticipated output, April 2019
 - Marine case study
 - Flow chart highlighting the key stages of the regulatory process, including the relevant stakeholders

Composite repair in the marine industry

- Working with Lloyd's Register
- Selection of case study: Repair of steel structures using composites
 - Primary load bearing, patch repair of deck
 - Dual purpose, considering design and repair at the same time
 - Definition of the methodology framework, for all stakeholders
 - Client feedback has raised the need to have a readily understandable process/flowchart indicating the approach for acceptance
 - Route to acceptance can be complex due to stakeholder liability/obligations and hence the case study will provide a good example of how proposals will be assessed
 - Additional barriers/issues?

Composite repair in the marine industry: case study details

- Typical material types and details (lay-ups and adhesives)
- Typical structural details and loading requirements
- Typical content of repair procedure, and manufacturing processes
- SQEP requirements, dependent on who is responsible for repair, e.g. OEM or repair yard

Composite repair in the marine industry: case study scope

- Other considerations, **not** in scope in the case study
 - Elevated temperature requirements, e.g. engine room repair
 - Environmental conditions, exposure to moisture, solvents, etc. depending on the repair location and if any protection is necessary (and the compatibility of any protection)
 - Inspection acceptance criteria, i.e. structural integrity of the patch. Assumed good bond exists, as part of the prerequisite for system qualification
- Generally composite technology is often well-established but durability and ability to inspect in-service are challenges

N|I|L = National Infrastructure Lab

Boldrewood Innovation Campus

Co-location with Lloyd's Register's Global Technology Centre

N|I|L total cost £47.4M - £26M from EPSRC/UKCRIC

Completion in March 2019

Part of the UK Collaboratorium for Research in Infrastructure and Cities - UKCRIC



Multi-scale materials and structures testing centre: Structures

Imaging Systems

- Full-field data
- DIC
- TSA
- High spatial resolution
- High temporal resolution

Strong floor

- 1m thick reinforced concrete
- 15 m
- spaced strong points
- kN vertical 250 kN

Actuators:

- load capacity
- continuous control

Hydraulic

- 1000 l
- Large
- High l
- 'Plug & play'
- Complex loading

DESIGNED FOR HIGH-FIDELITY TESTING
UTILISING DATA-RICH IMAGING
MID-TIERS OF TESTING PYRAMID (COMPONENTS,
SUB & STRUCTURES)

TESTING
ELIMINATED

TESTING
RETAINED

1000x TESTS

FULL
STRUCTURE

CRITICAL

New research project

- Programme Grant, funded by EPSRC (£6.9M over 5 years) to address the barriers to the design and manufacture of future composite aerostructures
- Led by University of Southampton (PI: Professor Ole Thomsen), working closely with the Universities of Bristol, Bath and Exeter, as well as industry partners
- The Programme Grant is titled 'Certification for Design: Reshaping the Testing Pyramid (CerTest)' and seeks to redefine the so-called 'testing pyramid', which is the backbone of current validation and certification processes

Acknowledgements

- EPSRC Impact Acceleration Account (IAA) funding

